

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,250	04/29/2005	Olli Rantapuska	915-006.079	5305	
4955 7590 03/07/2007 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & EXAMINER					
ADOLPHSON, LLP			HO, HUY C		
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224  ART UNIT				PAPER NUMBER	
MONROE, CT	06468		2617		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
	NTHS	03/07/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

/						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/533,250	RANTAPUSKA, OLLI			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Huy C. Ho	2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address	-		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	January 2007				
• —	•	nis action is non-final.				
3)						
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	· •			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	on.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)						
6)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)						
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· ,			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•			
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	n received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.			
		·				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other: _				
	radamark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/533,250 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 01/08/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argued features, i.e., a method of generating communication simulated messages on a mobile terminal device, wherein the simulated messages being presented via a standard communicating functionality of the terminal device, and the messages are typical messages of the terminal device, reads upon Robarts in view of Liu and Brokel as follows.

Robarts is discussing a simulated phenomena interaction system comprising a simulation engine and a mobile device (e.g., a portable computing device, a PDA, a mobile telephone, a cellular phone), wherein the simulation engine generates interaction responses in response to the mobile device's interaction or sensed requests and playback or display the responses to the operator of the mobile device via the phone's available functions as a ring tone, text, audio or graphic form, therefore Robarts discloses the limitation of generating communication simulated messages on a mobile terminal device, wherein the simulated messages being presented via a standard communicating functionality of the terminal device. Furthermore, Robarts is discussing a device allows a user to see simulated phenomena or real objects on the device display, therefore, Robarts discloses the limitation of messages are typical messages of the terminal device.

As a result, the argued features are written such that they read upon the cited references.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2617

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-2, 4-11, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Robarts et al. (2004/0002843 A1).

Consider claim 1, Robarts teaches a method comprising:

detecting an initiation event <u>for establishing a simulated communication</u> in a terminal device (see figure 2 numbers 201, 204, 205; see pars [12] and [41], [68], [69], [70], [84], [76]);

determining properties of said detected initiation event, generating a simulated message related to said determined properties, said message being generated from data stored in said storage (see figure 2, number 205, see pars [40] and [41], [68], [69], [70], [84], [76]), and

presenting said simulated message via a standard communication functionality of the terminal device, wherein said simulated message has the appearance of a typical message of the terminal device (see figure 3, number 304, figure 11, number 1102, figure 12, number 1202; pars [42]-[45], [69], [73], [88], [92], [99]).

Consider claim 13, a apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/533,250

Art Unit: 2617

a detection module for detecting an initiation event <u>for establishing a simulated communication</u> (see figure 2, number 204, figure 11, number 1103, figure 12, numbers 1202, 1203, see pars [41], [68], [69], [70], [84], [76]);

a determination module, connected to said detection module for determining properties of said detected initiation event (see figures 11 and 12, numbers 1104, 1107, 1108, 1220, pars [40], [41], [68], [69] and [70]),

a storage for storing components of simulated messages (see figure 6 numbers 620-624, figure 11, numbers 1104, 1107, figure 12 numbers 1207, pars [49], [68], [69] and [70]),

a generation module, connected to said determination module and to said storage module, for generating simulated messages from said stored components in correspondence with said determined properties (see figure 2, numbers 202, 203; figure 6, numbers 620-624, figure 11, numbers 1104, 1107, figure 12 numbers 1207, pars [11], [40], [41] [49], [51], [59], [68]-[70]), and

a communication component for presenting said generated simulated messages (see figure 3 number 304, figure 11 numbers 1102 and 1105, figure 12 numbers 1202 and 1205, pars [42], [43], [44] and [45]),

wherein said communication component comprises a standard communication functionality of the apparatus for presenting said generated simulated messages, wherein said simulated message has the appearance of a typical message of the apparatus (pars [69], [73], [88], [92], [99]).

Consider claim 16, Robarts teaches a network device for providing data for generating a simulated communication to terminal devices comprising:

a storage module for storing generation rules for simulated messages, simulated message components and evaluation rules (see figure 6, number 620-624, pars [49], [50] and [51]),

a communication module for connecting to said communication network and to said terminal devices (see figure 6, number 611, figure 7, number 703, par [54]), and

Page 5

a controller connected to said storage module and to said communication module, for selecting sets of simulated messages components and generation rules for transmitting said selected sets of simulated message components to said terminal devices via a standard communication functionality of said terminal device, wherein said simulated messages have the appearance of typical messages of said terminal device (see figure 6, number 612, figure 7, number 702, pars [49], [51], [54], [55], [69], [73], [88], [92], [93], [99]).

Consider claim 17, (New) Robarts teaches an apparatus, comprising:

means for detecting an initiation event for establishing a simulated communication (see figure 2 numbers 201, 204, 205; see pars [12] and [41], [68], [69], [70], [84], [76]);

means, connected to said detection module for determining properties of said detected initiation event (see figure 2, number 205, see pars [40] and [41], [68], [69], [70], [84], [76]),

means for storing components of simulated messages (see figure 6 numbers 620-624, figure 11, numbers 1104, 1107, figure 12 numbers 1207, pars [49], [68], [69] and [70]);

means, connected to said determination module and to said storage module, for generating simulated messages from said stored components in correspondence with said determined properties (see figure 2, numbers 202, 203; figure 6, numbers 620-624, figure 11, numbers 1104, 1107, figure 12 numbers 1207, pars [11], [40], [41] [49], [51], [59], [68]-[70]), and

means for presenting said generated simulated messages (see figure 3, number 304, figure 11, number 1102, figure 12, number 1202; pars [42]-[45]),

Art Unit: 2617

wherein said means for presenting said generated simulated messages comprises a standard communication functionality of the apparatus for presenting said generated simulated messages (see figure 3, number 304, figure 11, number 1102, figure 12, number 1202; pars [42]-[45], [69], [73], [88], [92], [99]), and

wherein said simulated message has the appearance of a typical message of the apparatus (pars [69], [73], [88], [92], [99]).

Consider claim 2, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. teach said generation step comprises composing said simulated message from said data in correspondence with said determined properties and composing rules stored in said storage (see figure 6, numbers 620-624, figures 8 and 9, figure 12, number 1207 and 1220, see pars [11], [40], [41], [52], [55], [59], [60] and [70], where Robarts et al. disclose the simulation engine either remotely from the phone device (figure 11) or within the phone device (figure 12) performs the interaction response based on the collected narrative data, event logic, a simulated phenomena characterizations data and algorithms such as artificial intelligent based algorithms that are stored in various configured repositories in the simulation engine thus discloses composing said simulated message from said data in correspondence with said determined properties and composing rules stored in said storage).

Consider claim 4, as applied to claim 1, Robart et al. disclose receiving data from a provider, data comprises components, fragments of simulated messages and rules for generating said simulated message (see figure 10, paragraphs [66], where Robarts discloses information, attributes and behavior of simulated phenomena, the data stored in the repositories in the simulation engine are available through a web servers, thus discloses receiving data from a provider, data comprises components, fragments of simulated messages and rules for generating said simulated message).

Consider claim 5, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. disclose said initiation event is a predetermined point of time (see par [51], where Robarts discloses the simulated phenomena attributes

Art Unit: 2617

data repository 620 typically stores information that is used to characterize and implement the "behavior" of simulated phenomena (responses to interaction requests). For example, attributes may include values for location, orientation, velocity, direction, acceleration, path, size, duration schedule, type, elasticity, mood, temperament, image, ancestry, or any other seemingly real world or imaginary characteristic of simulated phenomena, thus discloses the initiation event is a predetermined point of time).

Consider claim 6, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. disclose said initiation event is defined by a reception of a user input or the reception of a message from a provider (see figure 10, paragraphs [66], see figure 9, numbers 901 and 902, par [60], where Robarts teaches the mobile device senses values based on the real world environment through an operator input and also teaches information, attributes and behavior of simulated phenomena, the data stored in the repositories in the simulation engine are available through a web servers, thus Robarts teaches said initiation event is defined by a reception of a user input or the reception of a message from a provider).

Consider claim 7, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. teach a method of analyzing and evaluating said initiation event (see para [40], where Robarts discloses the simulation engine responds to such indicated requests by determining whether the indicated interaction request is permissible and performing the interaction request if deemed permissible, so discloses a method of analyzing and evaluating said initiation event).

Consider claim 8, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. disclose at least one of said simulated messages comprises at least one advertisement (see para [48], where Robarts teaches the mobile user is led by the Simulate Phenomena Integrated System to the desired physical destination and encouraged to engage in desired behavior (such as paying for the ride) by being "rewarded" by the SPIS according to the narrative (such as becoming eligible for some real world prize once the state of the mobile device is shown to a park operator). Many other gaming, training, and computer aided learning experiences can be

Art Unit: 2617.

similarly presented and supported using the techniques of a Simulated Phenomena Interaction System, thus discloses said simulated messages comprises at least one advertisement).

Consider claim 9, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. teach a software tool comprising program code means stored on a computer readable medium for carrying out the method of claim 1 when said software tool is run on a computer or network device (refer to figure 6, number 601-604, see pars [62], [63], [65], [66], [69] where Robarts discloses a variety software configurations may be used to implement a Simulated Phenomena Interaction System, data formats and code sequences, etc., in order to provide a thorough understanding of the techniques of the methods and systems of the present invention. Other downloaded code and potentially other data repositories also reside in the memory, and preferably execute on one or more CPUs, or network devices such as cell phones, PDAs, GPS, portable computing devices).

Consider claim 10, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. teach a computer program product comprising program code means stored on a computer readable medium for carrying out the method of claim 1 when said program product is run on a computer or network device (refer to figure 6, number 601-604, see pars [62], [63], [65], [66], [69] where Robarts discloses a variety software configurations may be used to implement a Simulated Phenomena Interaction System, data formats and code sequences, etc., in order to provide a thorough understanding of the techniques of the methods and systems of the present invention. Other downloaded code and potentially other data repositories also reside in the memory, and preferably execute on one or more CPUs, or network devices such as cell phones, PDAs, GPS, portable computing devices).

Consider claim 11, as applied to claim 1, Robarts et al. teach a computer program product comprising program code, downloadable from a server for carrying out the method of claim 1 when said program product is run on a computer or network device. (refer to figure 6, number 601-604, see pars [62], [63], [65], [66], [69] where Robarts discloses some program code such as C, C++, C# and Java is

available for downloaded through web servers, thus teach a computer program product comprising program code, downloadable from a server for carrying out the method of claim 1 when said program product is run on a computer or network device).

Consider claim 14, as applied to claim 13, Robarts et al. disclose an interface module for receiving data comprising components of said simulated messages and generation rules for generating said simulated messages (see figure 11, numbers 1105, 1106, 1102, 1103, 1107, 1108; pars [69], [73], where Robarts discloses input/output devices 1106, a display 1102, network devices 1106 and environment sensors 1103 are used for interacting, communicating or sensing/detecting the simulated phenomena with the simulation engine, thus disclose an interface module for receiving data comprising components of said simulated messages and generation rules for generating said simulated messages).

Consider claim 15, as applied to claim 13, Robart et al. clearly disclose that the said terminal device comprises a mobile phone (see figure 2, number 201, figure 6, numbers 601, 604).

Consider claim 18, as applied to claim 17, Robarts teaches means for receiving data comprising components of said simulated messages and generation rules for generating said simulated messages (see figure 6, numbers 620-624, figures 8 and 9, figure 12, number 1207 and 1220, see pars [11], [40], [41], [52], [55], [59], [60] and [70]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject

Application/Control Number: 10/533,250 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider, the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior att under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robarts et al (2004/0002843 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Liu et al. (6,134,514).

Consider claim 3, Robarts et al. fail to teach opening a timeframe after the detection of the initiation event and presenting simulated message after the timeframe has closed, although it is noticed that Robarts discloses the detection of an initiation event in the mobile device by the sensing function that

is capable of sensing simulated or real phenomena and send it to the simulation engine for processing (see figure 2 numbers 201, 204, 205; see pars [12] and [41]). Robarts also discloses the narrative engine determines whether the previously determined conditions required to advance the narrative to the next state have been satisfied in order to continue for the next interaction request (par [73]). Liu et al. teach a simulation system that has a scheduler operable for ordering of event information received from all of the SPs in time, and for determining which event should be processed next depending of the time stamped

event information and a transmit feature for notifying the respective SP to proceed and process the next

event (see col 1 lines 52-67, col 9 lines 38-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Robarts and incorporate

the teachings of Liu into Robarts invention to have a scheduler capable of managing time for simulated

events to occur.

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robarts et al (2004/0002843 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Brockel et al. (5,794,128).

Consider claim 12, Robarts et al. fail to disclose a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave and representing a program that instructs a computer to perform the steps of the method of claim 1 even though it is noticeable that Robarts describes a variety software configurations may be used to implement a Simulated Phenomena Interaction System, data formats and code sequences, etc., and other downloaded code and potentially other data repositories also reside in the memory, and preferably execute on one or more CPUs, or network devices such as cell phones, PDAs, GPS, portable computing devices (refer to figure 6, number 601-604, see pars [62], [63], [65], [66], [69]). Brockel et al. teach a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave and representing a program that instructs a computer to perform the steps of the method of claim 1 (see the Abstract, see col 7 lines 8-30, where Brockel et al. disclose a method for realistic simulation of wireless information transport systems in real-time utilizing modeling techniques

Application/Control Number: 10/533,250

Art Unit: 2617

and computer programs where a real-time simulation output signal can be transmitted to other simulation platform engaging in a simulation exercise. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the invention of Robarts and incorporate the teaching of Brockel into Robarts' system to have an simulation output signal transmitted to other simulation platform for engaging in simulation exercise.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 270-1108. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached on (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,250 Page 13

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*\*

SUPERVISORY PATENTER 2800